



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/559,452	04/27/2000	Kevin D. McIntosh	P-9056.00	1849
27581	7590 ' 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE MS-LC340			BIANCO, PATRICIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604			3762	. 1
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			X.			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/559,452	KEVIN D. MCINTO	KEVIN D. MCINTOSH ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia Bianco	3762				
<i>-≟'The MAILING DATE of this communication ap</i> Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this or BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			ne merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u></u>					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,,	_ disapproved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	Karriirer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n neigrity under 25 H C (C 5 110(a) (d) ar (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 35 0.5.	J. 8 119(a)-(d) of (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		n Application No.				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	Olage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	l application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/559,452

Art Unit: 3762

. 1

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figures 1, 4, and 5, and the species of device connected to the bubble access port.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/559,452

Art Unit: 3762

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Patricia Bianco at telephone number 703-305-1482.

Suhmad